

Reporting diagnosis codes

To capture the full disease burden of a patient's conditions, follow the documentation guidelines below, as applicable.

Two pronged goals in diagnosis-based risk adjustment:

1. To ensure that patients' conditions are sufficiently diagnosed, documented, coded to measure and monitor outcomes.
2. To accurately track the care needed through current and potential resources and reimburse accordingly.

<p>Do document: Diagnosis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the highest level of specificity • Accurately • Making sure its clinically valid and supported <p><i>Example: Malignant neoplasm of breast cancer code risk adjusts but the history of breast cancer code doesn't. Any malignancy undergoing active treatment should be coded with the malignant neoplasm code.</i></p> <p>Download our Diagnosis coding tip sheet for helpful information on cancer documentation.</p> <p><i>The personal history code is applied only if the malignancy is not present.</i></p> <p><i>If the patient is diagnosed with breast cancer and is currently receiving any active treatment including adjuvant treatment for cancer, then code as an active diagnosis.</i></p> <p><i>If the cancer is in remission, code cancer as in remission.</i></p> <p><i>If the cancer is not in remission, code personal history of cancer.</i></p> <p><i>If the patient is on therapy for prophylaxis, code personal history of cancer.</i></p> <p>Do document: All co-existing conditions affecting patient care with supporting documentation during the encounter.</p>	<p>Do code: Signs and symptoms as opposed to diagnosis when a confirmed diagnosis has not been determined by the provider.</p> <p>Do code: Chronic diseases as many times as the patient receives care and treatment.</p> <p>Do only use: Unspecified codes when the medical record is insufficient to assign a more specific code.</p> <p>Do link: Current prescription medication used to the condition for which it was prescribed.</p> <p>Don't code: Conditions in the outpatient setting documented as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Probable • Suspected • Likely • Questionable • Possible • Still to be ruled out • Compatible with • Consistent with <p>Do report: Conditions at least once during the risk adjustment annual reporting period.</p> <p>Examples of ongoing co-existing conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetes • Congestive heart failure • Multiple sclerosis • Rheumatoid arthritis
---	---

Conventions for ICD-10-CM

- The word “with” or “in” should be interpreted to mean “associated with” or “due to” when it appears in a code title, the alphabetical index (either under a main term or subterm), or an instructional note in the Tabular list.
 - These conditions presume a causal relationship without a provider having to explicitly link them. If these relational terms aren’t used, then the provider must link the conditions.
 - *Example: Hypertension with chronic kidney disease*
- The “see” instruction following a main term in the alphabetic Index indicated that another term should be referenced.
- The “see also” instruction following a main term in the alphabetic index instructs that there is another main term that may also be referenced that may provide additional Alphabetic Index entries that may be useful.
- A “code also” note instructs that two codes may be required to fully describe a condition, but this note doesn’t provide sequencing direction. The sequencing depends on the circumstances of the encounter.

Reference:

1. Crc, P. S. B. C. C. C. (2020). Risk Adjustment Documentation & Coding, 2nd Edition. In Common Administrative Errors and Processes. (2nd ed., pp. 3-5). American Medical Association Press.